- I. THANK YOU
- II. ROY WISE
- III. TRYING TO DISCRIBE WHAT'S BEEN HAPPEN
  - A. THINK I'VE COME UP WITH AN APPORPRIATE ANOLOGY
    - 1. SIGN VETENARINY/ TAXIDERMIST:

EITHER WAY YOU GET YOUR DOG BACK

- 2. BECAUSE WHAT WE HAVE SEEN LAST TWO YEARS IS THIS TAUTING OF ECON DEV WITH THE EXPECTATION THAT JOBS WILL BE CREATED BUT WHAT WE'RE ENDING UP WITH IS JUST A CARCOUS THAT JUST LOOKS LIKES AND SOUND GOOD WITH NO SUBSTANCE FOR THE WORKING MAN (REGARDLESS WHAT COLOR HIS COLLAR IS)
- 3. RIDGE ADM AND THE MAJORITY PARTY IN THE NAME OF ECON. DEVELOPMENT HAVE OPENED THE SPIGOT ON THE TROUGH AND THE LARGE CORPS ARE GOURGING THEMSELVES ON THE STATES GENEROSTIY TO THE DISBENEETT OF EVERYONE ELSE.
- 4. BECAUSE BELIEVE ME LADIES AND GENTLEMAN THERE'S A HUGH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ECON DEV AND COMMUNITY DEV!

I. THANK ATE OPPORTUNITY F, HISTORY OF WHAT THE LAST TWO YEARS IL GIVI UNDER RIDGE HAVE BEEN LIKE AND WHAT EFFECT THEY HAVE HAD A. TAX AMNESTY **1.USUALLY ONLY WHEN YOU NEED THE REVIEW** AN CUE 2. COLLECTION RATE **B. ENVIRONMENTAL** first level Retion -**1. PERMITTING** 2. SLAPP SUITS (STRATEGIC LAW SUIT AGAINST **PUBLIC PART.)** (a) BUD GEORGE'S EXAMPLE (1) RUINED WELL, FLOOD HAD TO MOVE (2) CALLED LEG AND DEP CO FILED SUIT INTERFERING WITH THEIR BUSINESS IN PITTS. (3) \$27,000 IN LEGAL FEES 3. MALODOR REGULATIONS PROPOSED CHANGES Ged bue (a) DEP OWN STATISTICS FULLY 30% OF **COMPLAINTS** FROM ODORS Who'll determi (b) CHANGE REGS TO BEST AVAILABLE TECH THEN 5 YEAR EXEMPTIONS © PIG FARMING POSSIBILITY

4. EMMISSIONS

a. GOV'S PLAN b. RFG GAS

> (1) CALIF. HEALTH EFFECTS POSSIBLE (2) WARNING LABELS TAKEN OFF IN REG

C. PREVAILING WAGE

1. SURVEY

a. SPURIOUS

(1) WHO FILLING INFO

(2) WHO WAS INCLUDED

(a) NO PUBLIC PROJECTS

(b) BLACKLISTED CO'S INCLUDED LENGE Act 57-1996

- AMAGUIDE LINES

cont l'indes

2. COURT CHALLENGE

D. WORKERS COMP Act 57 - 1996

1.CO. DOC. FROM 60 TO 90 DAYS

2. DISABILED REVIEW AFTER TWO YEARS

50% IMPAIRMENT STANDARD ESTABLISHED. ---

E. BUSINESS TAX CUTS

1. CNI - ONLY FOR LARGE CORP.

2 WHY NOT THE PIC (SUBCHAPTER S)

F. WEFFORM REFORM

1. 220,000 MNO REMOVED

2. DISABLED RECLASSIFED

Comm



(a) HAD NO. OF PEOPLE ALREADY DECIDED

(b) HORROR STORIES ALREADY STARTING

(1) BLACK CAUCUS REPORT

(2) LAY OFFS IN HOSPITALS AND CLINIC

PROJECTED 58,000 PEOPLE LAYED OFF

G. SALE OF LIQIOR STORES

1. INCREASE CONSUMPTION ESPECIALLY OF YOUNG

2. LOSS OF TAX REVENUE

3. INCREASED COST OF EFORCEMENT

4. LOSE OF 4-5000 JOBS TO BE REPLACED WITH Whaten Centre

MIMIMUM WAGE JOBS

H. PRIVITATION OF STATE OPERATIONS

**1. TORRENCE STATE HOSPITAL** 

2. HEALTH CLINICS - public - STD'S SURVEYS -

3. ANYTHING ELSE THEY CAN PUSH Through -

L GAS TAX

1. INCREASE IN TAX AND REGISTRATION FEE

2. LARGE TRUCK PROVISIONS

**3. METHOD BY WHICH THEY INSERTED** 

J. Prha Assu 1. Bov's Response Vume Smor K. ayning Shop - fer Reght to work.

L. PHOEDS-LOG-ISLATIUZ MANVERING BUUJINSHBUDTOS-

CPRIVITATION FAR BRIV SPICE

Rules Commis free Standing

## **REC'D** SEP 2 9 1997

COMMITTEES

## DAN A. SURRA, MEMBER

6 SHAWMUT SQUARE SOUTH ST. MARY'S STREET ST. MARY'S, PENNSYLVANIA 15857 PHONE: (814) 781-6301 TOLL-FREE: 1 (800) 348-9126

DUBOIS OFFICE:

320 W. LONG AVENUE DUBOIS, PENNSYLVANIA 15801 PHONE: (814) 375-4688

HARRISBURG OFFICE:

ROOM 300 SOUTH OFFICE BUILDING HOUSE BOX 202020 HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17120-2020 PHONE: (717) 787-7226



House of Representatives

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HARRISBURG

September 25, 1997

James M. Seif, Secretary Department of Environmental Protection 16th Floor, Rachel Carson State Office Building Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear Secretary Seif,

I pen this letter to voice my concern over the Department's proposed changes to the Malodor Regulations. By the Department's own admission, a full 30% of citizen complaints to your agency deal with malodors. I t would seem by implementation of the proposed changes, the Department would be turning their backs on one of the most common problems experienced by the citizens of this Commonwealth.

What will be the determining factor of what is the best available technology? Who will make that decision? I am seriously concerned that the cost will be the overriding factor in these decisions and the citizens of this State will be forced to live with the problem for at least five years under this proposal.

Also, the proposal would allow the Department the latitude to add to the list of instances that are totally exempted from the malodor regulations with <u>NO</u> legislative oversight. I understand you desire to be able to expand that list should the need arise. However, I feel it is important that there must be some checks and balances to this process.

In my legislative district, I am dealing with a serious malodor problem from hydrogen sulfide and sulphur dioxide from a papermill. Residents of the community of Johnsonburg have been subjected to these gasses at levels that cause children and elderly people to gasp for breath, become watery eyed, and irritation to the respiratory systems. How will these changes help them?



ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY GAME AND FISHERIES LABOR RELATIONS

POLICY

CAUCUSES

NORTHWEST CAUCUS, DEMOCRATIC VICE-PRESIDENT LEGISLATIVE SPORTSMEN CAUCUS, TREASURER Secretary James Seif September 25, 1997 Page 2

Mr. Secretary, the people of Pennsylvania are entitled to clean air by our constitution. This proposal does nothing to enhance that noble idea. I respectfully request that we go back to the drawing board in an effort that will protect both our businesses and citizens.

Sincerely,

Dan A. Surra, Representative 75th Legislative District

DAS/rls

cc: Environmental Quality Board All House Members Carol Browner, Director, EPA