MR. CHAIRMAN, I RISE TO A POINT OF ORDER.

LAST THURSDAY, THE REPUBLICAN PARTY LED BY GEORGE SUPREME BLOOM AND ARLEN SPECTER APPEARED IN COMMONWEALTH COURT IN AN ATTEMPT TO MUZZEL HERB DENENBERG.

FROM WHAT I READIN THE NEWSPAPERS, THE CHIEF JUSTICE HAS ORDER MR. DENENBERG TO STOP PRACTICING LAW IN PENNSYLVANIA UNTIL THE SUPREME COURT RULES ON YOUR PARTY'S MOVE TO PREVENT HIS ADMISSION TO THE PENNSYLVANIA BAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN, IT HAS RECENTLY COME TO MY ATTENTION THAT MAJORITY SPECIAL COUNSEL MICHAEL WILLMANN IS NOT ADMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW IN PENNSYLVANIA.

IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THOUGH HE IS ADMITTED IN ANOTHER JURSSDICTION HE HAS NEVER EVEN APPLIED FOR A LICENSE TO PRACTICE LAW HERE.

YET, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE MAJORITY IS EMPLOYING THIS MAN AS ONE OF THEIR COUNSEL. HE IS PERFORMING LEGAL DUTIES AND NOW IN HIS CAPACITY AS ONE OF THE MAJORITY SPECIAL COUNSEL HE IS QUESTIONING THE GOVERNON ON LEGAL MATTERS.

MR. CHAIRMAN, WHAT'S SAUCE FOR THE GOOSE IS SAUCE FOR THE GANDER.

IF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY WISHES TO MAINTAIN THAT MR. DENENBERG IS ILLEGALLY PRACTICING LAW IN PENNSYLVANIA THEN MR. WILLMANN IS ALSO ILLEGALLY PRACTICING LAW AND IS NOT QUALIFIED TO BE SPECIAL COUNSEL TO THIS COMMITTEE.

IN ADDITION TO THIS, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE DISCIPLINARY RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA & PLACE A SPECIAL BURDEN ON ATTORNEYS WHO WISH TO PRACTUCE IN JURISDICTIONS IN WHICH THEY ARE NOT MEMBERS OF THE BAR. SECTION 3-103(B) OF THE DISCIPLINARY RULES WHICH ARE BINDING ON YOU AND ME AND MR. WILLMANN STATES:

> "A LAWYER SHALL NOT PRACTICE LAW IN A _URISDICTION WHERE TO DO SO WOULD BE IN VIOLATION OF REGULATIONS OF THE PROFESSION IN THAT JURISDICTION."

IN ADDITION, THE ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS WHICH ARE A PART OF A CANNON 3 ALSO DISCUSS THIS ISSUE. ETHICAL CONSIDERATION 3-9 STATES IN PERTINENT PART:

> "REGULATION OF THE PRACTICE OF LAW IS ACCOMPLISHED PRINCIPALLY BY THE RESPECTIVE STATES. AUTHORITY TO ENGAGE IN THE PRACTICE OF LAW CONFERRED IN ANY JURISDICTION IS NOT PER SE A GRANT OF THE RIGHT TO PRACTICE ELSEWHERE. AND IT IS IMPROPER FOR A LWWYER TO ENGAGE IN PRACTICE WHERE HE IS NOT PERMITTED BY LAW OR BY COURT ORDER TO DO SO."

MR. CHAIRMAN, THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF PENNSYLVANIA HAS STATED THAT MR. DENENBERG MAY NOT PRACTICE LAW M UNTIL A DECISION IS MADE WITH REGARD TO WHETHER HE IS TO BE ADMITTED TO THE BAR.

MR. WILLMANN IS IN AN EVEN WEAKER POSITION AS HE HAS NOT EVEN APPLIED FOR ADMISSION TO THE PENNSYLVANIA BAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN, THE SITUATION IS CLEAR.

UNTIL MR. DENENBERG'S SITUATION IS CLARIFIED BY THE SUPREME COURT XXM®XENXNAMXNXMXMXMXMXMAMM OR MR. WILLMANN IS ADMITTED TO THE PENNSYLVANIA BAR, I MOVE THAT MR. WILLMANN BE SUSPENDED FROM ALL LEGAL DUTIES AND FROM HIS POSITION AS SPECIAL COUNSEL TO THE MAJROTIY OF THIS COMMITTEE. I ALSO MOVE THAT MR. WILLMANN BE ORDERED TO IMMEDIATELY APPLY FOR ADMISSION TO THE BAR OR FACE THE POSSIBILITY OF DISMISSAL. MR. CHAIRMAN, I FEEL THAT THIS IS A VERY SERIOUS MATTER.

THE ISSUE HAS BEEN RAISED BY MR. BLOOM AND UNTIL IT IS SETTLED I BELIEVE REPUBLICANS SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO PLAY BY THE SAME RULES AS DEMOCRATS. TO DO OTHERWISE IS HYPOCRACY OF THE WORST SORT.